

Annex A: Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
Whole Document						
1	Friends of Hampden Park (Chris Hookham)	Whole document	Disagree	The Council has excluded Hampden Park from Eastbourne Park's boundaries.	<p>Hampden Park is different in character to Eastbourne Park and serves a different function. It is identified within Neighbourhood 7: Hampden Park in the Core Strategy. The area of Hampden Park will continue to be recognised as an important green space that will be protected through policies within the Core Strategy such as Policy C7: Hampden Park Neighbourhood Policy.</p> <p>It should be stressed that Hampden Park's exclusion from Eastbourne Park's boundary will not undermine its continuing recognition and importance as a leisure resource for both the people of Hampden Park and the wider community and will not impede the ability to protect, improve or fund new linkages into Eastbourne Park.</p>	In paragraph 2.2.6, delete "serving the local population".

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
2	Charles Burgess	Whole document	N/A	Using the available space, a tram/light rail system could run from near the Langney Shopping Centre to Eastbourne railway station.	The East Sussex Local Transport Plan (LTP) 3 recognises that congestion can be a problem at peak times in some parts of Eastbourne. The LTP sets out a series of measures that will seek to address some of the issues related to congestion and simultaneously plan for growth. However, there are no current plans for light rail within the East Sussex LTP3.	No change.
3	Barbara Pratt (Public Health East Sussex)	Whole document	N/A	<p>The publication of the draft Eastbourne Park SPD is welcomed. It is particularly pertinent as a Physical Activity Strategy for Eastbourne is currently being developed by multi-agency partners.</p> <p>Achieving the recommended level of physical activity is an important contributor to preventing and managing over 20 chronic conditions including coronary heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancer, obesity, mental health problems and</p>	<p>Support welcomed. One of the key principles of the Eastbourne Park SPD is to provide greater opportunities for the town's residents and visitors to lead healthy and active lives. The Eastbourne Park SPD seeks to enhance access into and within the Park. A series of new footpaths and cycleways will be designed to provide additional safe and secure opportunities for healthy lifestyles for all.</p> <p>New or additional allotments will be provided at Churchdale Road,</p>	In paragraph 3.6.1 after "...leisure opportunities." Add the following text: "Improving opportunities for involvement in physical activity is an important contributor to preventing and managing over twenty chronic conditions including coronary heart disease stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancer,

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				<p>musculoskeletal conditions.</p> <p>Initiatives to ensure that vulnerable people and all age groups are encouraged to access the park and improvements to public transport should be included in the SPD.</p>	<p>Manor Road and Durley Field to meet existing and future demand (in accordance with the recommendation in the Allotment Study.</p>	<p>obesity, mental health problems and musculoskeletal conditions."</p> <p>Insert new paragraph between paragraph 3.6.2 and 3.6.3: "Increased allotment provision will increase the number of people growing fruit and vegetables and, in time lead to healthier communities."</p>
4	Richard Maile	Whole document	N/A	<p>Include land north of Hammonds Drive for employment uses as per the representation submitted in relation to the Core Strategy.</p>	<p>The site was not considered as suitable for an employment allocation in the Core Strategy due to several constraints to its developability.</p> <p>Constraints include its Strategic Flood Risk and the presence of important identified habitats.</p>	<p>Await the findings of the inspector's report on the Core Strategy in relation to the omission of this site for employment.</p>
12	Hugh Ball	Whole document	Disagree	<p>Eastbourne Park should be joined up with public footpaths and cycle tracks.</p>	<p>The Eastbourne Cycling Strategy identifies a Borough-wide network of potential cycle routes, which</p>	<p>No change.</p>

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
					will be provided as funding becomes available through a number of different avenues. One of the key elements of the Strategy is to enhance accessibility and ensure that cycle routes link key residential and employment areas as well as connecting to other cycle routes.	
13	Revd Douglas Caffyn	Whole document	N/A	<p>Canoeing is the most popular watersport in Britain, yet the SPD only mentions it under sustainable tourism. The development of a canoe trail through the Park as well as water canoe racing of regional importance would be welcome.</p> <p>There are insufficient canoeing facilities locally and there is the potential for developing a facility for flat water canoe racing of regional importance in Eastbourne Park within the planning parameters. Provision should be made in the SPD for this activity.</p>	Policy D11: Eastbourne Park (page 10) identifies canoeing as a non-motorised water-based recreation activity that will be supported within Eastbourne Park.	No change.
14	Jean Driver	Whole	N/A	The existing environment should	Policy D10 of the Core Strategy	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
		document		be maintained as it is in its present natural state. Any planning will upset the present eco-system.	and the Eastbourne Park SPD will ensure the Park's long-term protection. Policy D11: Eastbourne Park (page 10) explicitly states that "Within the areas of highest ecological value, the environmental quality of the Park will be conserved and enhanced through the creation of a Local Nature Reserve. Outside designated nature conservation areas, development proposals will still need to be consistent with the Principles of the SPD and need to demonstrate that they "would not result in an unacceptably detrimental impact on wildlife and ecology".	
15	Darren Cook	Whole document	N/A	<p>The West Langney section does not state what work would be done to enhance the area, especially as a Wetland Visitor Centre is proposed.</p> <p>This would mean turning the area into a Nature Reserve, as there's currently nothing much there at the moment to warrant one.</p>	The concept for West Langney does include the designation of a local nature reserve. The biodiversity assessment (2007) identified several identified habitats throughout the Park including at West Langney. In West Langney, the area proposed for designation as a Local Nature Reserve includes amenity grassland, open water	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				Have any representations been made to Sussex Wildlife Trust or the RSPB on managing what could become a great reserve?	(freshwater), pasture, rank grassland and tall herb, reed beds and some small areas of woodland. Opportunities for working with external organizations for the future management of the Park are currently being explored.	
16	St Thomas a Becket Schools	Whole document	N/A	Eastbourne Park offers a fantastic opportunity for a natural outdoor classroom. Once the area becomes more accessible it can be used effectively by both of our schools to extend the curriculum. It is also important that the proposals are of the highest quality in terms of design. Following recent discussion with other local schools, there is agreement that they would welcome better communication and further consultation on the development of the park.	The Council recognizes the key role that schools can play in helping deliver the vision for Eastbourne Park. The Council accepts the request for additional consultation on the development of the Park.	No change.
17	Scarlett	Whole	N/A	The Eastbourne Park SPD is	Eastbourne Borough Council is	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
	McNally (Bespoke)	Document		<p>supported and the only objections relate to the delivery timescale and to the proposed new road. The plan for the cycle routes is scheduled for "medium term 2017/18 – 2021-22". The infrastructure for cycling should be in the short term and the timescales should be reconsidered.</p> <p>Eastbourne Park will be excellent for cycling as it gives traffic-free routes for those walking and cycling. Where there is infrastructure for cycling, this also aids access for people using wheelchairs, mobility scooters or pushchairs and those walking dogs. This improves health and social inclusion for large numbers of people in Eastbourne.</p>	<p>committed to improving cycling facilities across Eastbourne; many of the cycle routes proposed (with the exception of the Horsey Sewer cycle route) are identified in the Cycling Strategy as Secondary Routes. Whilst these have been identified, no specific feasibility work has currently been undertaken. Paragraph 4.1.6 of the Cycling Strategy states that these routes "should therefore be considered as aspirational routes that will require further analysis before being included in a list of future schemes for feasibility and delivery as funding becomes available."</p>	
19	Mr A Lloyd	Whole document	Agree	<p>Eastbourne Park is a wonderful asset and the proposals for cycle paths, walkways, and nature reserves are excellent. The challenge will be to allow people to enjoy it without spoiling it.</p>	Support welcomed.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
20	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Whole document	N/A	This should be seen as a major plus point in the provision of cycle paths. Paths would link the places people need to access. They would provide utility cycle routes to schools, shops, businesses. This would help the town improve on congestion, health, pollution and economic development.	Support welcomed.	No change.
45	Andrew Oldland (Highways Agency)	Whole document	N/A	No comments.	Noted.	No change.
52	Community Environment Partnership for Eastbourne (CEPE) (Nick Adlam)	Whole document	N/A	The proposals set out in Eastbourne Park SPD are welcomed. CEPE is against more road building across green spaces but in taking this stance it needs to be mindful of the economic impacts this may have on the town. CEPE is aware that if the additional road that the policy recommends is not constructed then there is the possibility that congestion will worsen around St Anthony's area. This could be	The proposal to build a new road has been included by East Sussex County Council in its Local Transport plan (LTP) 3. However, financial resources have not been allocated to it by the County Council to enable its delivery. b	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				<p>harmful as there are very limited options in travelling East to West. In time though, the need to build this road may diminish if there is a strong uptake in cycling and public transport.</p> <p>CEPE would like there to be 'get out' clause for the additional road in case it is no longer needed. CEPE is aware that if something is included in a policy document then it is very difficult to remove unless certain conditions are attached.</p>		
53	Mr A Klapproth	Whole document	N/A	<p>The following proposals should be provided in Eastbourne Park</p> <p>1). Extension to 9 hole golf course in Lottbridge Drove making a full size 18 hole course.</p> <p>2). A purpose built Cricket stadium and appropriate facilities.</p>	The concept for the Southbourne sector is that it "will consolidate its position as an important area for leisure and recreation. An extended golf course or new cricket stadium are proposals that would not be contrary to the concept for Southbourne.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
58	Robin Reffell	Whole Document	N/A	The inclusion of reference to ecology and archaeology is fully supported. Any opportunities to become involved in any work that could be carried out would be appreciated.	Support welcomed. The Council will seek to involve landowners, stakeholders and the local community in the future management of the Park.	No change.
Section 1: Introduction						
55	Alex Bateman (Strutt & Parker on behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement)	Introduction (Paragraph 1.2.5) (Page 2)	N/A	This comment refers to Paragraph 1.2.5. This lists the evidence studies that support/influence the principles within the Eastbourne Park SPD. However, this paragraph does not refer to the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009. This study is specifically related to Key Principle 10: Renewable Energy, and is referred to in supporting text. Insert a reference to the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009 in Paragraph 1.2.5.	Agreed.	In paragraph 1.2.5 after "the Eastbourne Surface Water Management Plan" insert "the Renewable Energy Potential Study,"
Section 2: History, Context and Vision						
56	Alex Bateman (Strutt & Parker on	History, Context and Vision (Pages 3-14)	N/A	Section 2.5 sets out the key issues for Eastbourne Park. The Vision for Eastbourne Park seeks an active response to the effects	These issues were discussed at the recent Examination in Public on the Core Strategy. The Council is currently awaiting the findings	Awaiting findings of inspector's report on the Core Strategy.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
	behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement)			<p>of climate change. It is therefore important to recognise that energy use and the potential for renewable energy is a key issue. Given that the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009 sets out possible renewable energy resources within Eastbourne Park, it is important that this is followed into this documentation.</p> <p>It is important to consider the level of energy use commitments in the Borough and assess the potential for renewable energy creation in Eastbourne Park. The Park has been identified as having potential to provide renewable energy through a wind turbine, solar photo voltaic cells and biomass crop growing. It is essential that development required to harness renewable energy provides an effective and sustainable balance of environmental, social and economic benefit for the Borough.</p>	of the inspector's report on the Core Strategy in relation to the acceptability of wind turbines in Eastbourne Park.	

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
21	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.1.6 (Pages 4-5)	N/A	Cycling is a low noise activity and there is concern about the plans to build a new road, which will add to noise intrusion.	See response to ID number 52.	No change.
22	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.2.3 (Page 5)	N/A	This is a great bonus for the town, but the Park is largely off-limits at present. Opening the Park with cycle lanes would allow more people to access it.	Support welcomed.	No change.
6	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Para 2.2.6 (Pages 5-6)	Disagree	<p>Places for People (PFP) is the owner of the Bedfordwell Road Depot site and adjoining former allotment land. These two parcels of land are included within the area of planning permission reference EB/2008/0609(FP) granted on 11th March, 2011 for residential development.</p> <p>The statement in paragraph 2.2.6 is therefore not complete in that some former allotment land should also be excluded from the Park area.</p> <p>Additional amendments are also required to Fig. 2 and all subsequent maps including Figs</p>	Agree. The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy.	Amend the boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 2 (and Figures 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 11) to exclude the area of land that was granted planning permission under Ref: EB/2008/0609(FP)

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11.		
18	Mr A Lloyd	Para 2.2.7 (Pages 7-8)	N/A	Eastbourne Park is a beautiful area, which is a haven for wildflowers and birdlife If access can be allowed in these places, without losing their undisturbed charm, than it will be a great thing for people to enjoy.	The Council recognises the importance of Eastbourne Park as an area for wildlife and one which has the potential to enhance the quality of life of Eastbourne's residents.	No change.
37	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.2.9 (Page 8)	N/A	In Southbourne, the mini-railway and golf course have taken a large section, with sports fields on what is the last of the natural marsh of reeds, scrub and trees. This is not protecting the natural environment. Cycle paths linking to Hampden Park's comprehensive sports fields would be more conservational.	Comment noted. The Council has sought to achieve an effective balance between protecting and enhancing the important ecological and archaeological characteristics, whilst at the same time ensuring that the Park is more effectively utilised as a key leisure and recreation resource. Southbourne is already an important area for leisure and recreation and the Eastbourne Park SPD will support additional sports, leisure and recreational facilities, where it can be demonstrated that such proposals would not have an unacceptably detrimental effect on the	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
					character of Eastbourne Park as a whole.	
23	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.4.1 (Page 9)	N/A	Langney C section of the Parkland is marked as such an area, yet a road is proposed which will block green corridors causing noise pollution and create an eyesore reducing an area of natural beauty. Cycle paths could be surfaced with MOT Type 1 to reduce costs.	Comment welcomed. See response to ID21 (paragraph 2.1.6)	No change.
24	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.5.2 (Page 11)	N/A	Cycle paths in the Eastbourne Park will open up job opportunities, leisure and tourism. The town centre shops and businesses will be more accessible.	Support welcomed.	No change.
7	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Para 2.5.7 (page 12)	Disagree	PFP supports the general thrust of this paragraph but suggests that improved accessibility to the Park would be of benefit, not only to tourism and visitors but, more fundamentally for existing and future local residents. Para 2.5.7 should therefore be	Agree. The aim of enhancing access to Eastbourne Park is envisaged to be for everyone not just tourists and visitors. It is therefore appropriate that this paragraph be amended to include reference to "existing and future residents" as well as visitors and	Amend paragraph 2.5.7 "This needs to be addressed in order to enhance access to the Park for existing and future residents whilst at the same time

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				amended to make reference to existing and future residents.	tourists to the town.	enabling it to reach its tourism and visitor potential".
Section 3: Key Principles for Eastbourne Park						
26	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.1.1 (Page 15)	N/A	Cycle paths will be in keeping with the natural environment, and allow more people to benefit from this.	Support welcomed.	No change.
43	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.1.1 (Page 15)	Disagree	Building the proposed road would cut off one section of the park, leading it open to development.	Comment noted.	No change.
46	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 (Page 15)	N/A	The unique character, biodiversity and habitats of the park are due to it being a floodplain and series of different types of wetland. The key to high biodiversity is clean water and there is no mention of water quality or in this document. There should be a particular emphasis on preventing water pollution in the West Langney sector that is proposed for Local Nature Reserve designation. [Note, Section 3.1.1 should refer to figure 4 not 2 (park sectors)]. Section 3.1.2 mentions	Suggestion accepted. The typographical error in paragraph 3.1.1 will be amended accordingly.	In the 2 nd sentence of paragraph 3.1.2 after "suitable environmental protection measures" insert "(including water pollution prevention) In the last sentence replace "Figure 2" with "Figure 4".

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				environmental protection measures and this could be expanded to include water pollution prevention and buffer strips between roads/urban development and watercourses and lakes.		
27	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.2.1 (Page 17)	N/A	Cycle paths build on Eastbourne Park will keep this area capable of coping with intermittent flooding.	Support welcomed.	No change.
47	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.2.1 (Page 17)	N/A	There is concern regarding the lack of maintenance to the existing flood storage scheme structures which could impact upon the continued effectiveness of the flood storage scheme. There is particular concern with the current state of maintenance of some of the key existing structures (e.g. spillways). It is important that this aspect is addressed as there has been a lack of maintenance since the existing lakes and structures were built. It is understood that a review of the operation of the flood storage scheme will soon	It is recognised that effective maintenance of existing flood storage will help ensure effective control of flowing water through existing sewers and channels.	Include additional initiative in Implementation section

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				<p>be commissioned and this will inform the maintenance requirements.</p> <p>The following text should be included: "Ensuring that a maintenance plan is prepared and implemented to secure the continued effectiveness of the flood storage scheme, with particular regard to the key flood control structures".</p>		
42	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.2.4 (Pages 18-19)	Disagree	The proposed new road is in a high risk of flooding (fluvial zone 3b). A road would be even more expensive to build as it would have to be raised. East Sussex County Council should instead invest in far cheaper cycle paths throughout the parkland and links to it.	Comment noted.	No change.
28	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.3.1 (Page 20)	N/A	Many people will be able to use the Park with their bicycles. This will improve access to it. It will also improve access to other parts of town using a pleasant	Support welcomed.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				and traffic-free route.		
25	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.3.3 (Page 21)	Agree	The proposals for a traffic-free route linking to the Eastbourne District General Hospital is supported. This would add options for less confident cyclists who wish to avoid the narrow part of King's Drive where there is currently no cycle path.	Support welcomed.	No change.
29	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.4.1 (page 23)	N/A	Cycle routes to these could enable retired people to keep healthy on their route to an allotment.	Support welcomed.	No change.
30	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.5.1 (page 25)	N/A	Installing cycle paths would mean Eastbourne Park remains a dedicated well-used facility for the people of Eastbourne and tourists. This would reduce the risk of other uses being mooted for this land.	Support welcomed.	No change.
39	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.5.1 (Page 25)	N/A	Rather than seeking to deliver a separate new archaeological centre and a wetlands centre, it may be better to have just one centre, to save further destruction of natural habitat and money.	The Council is exploring all options for the provision of educational wetland/archaeological centres.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
31	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.6.1 (Page 26)	N/A	Cycling reduces heart disease, respiratory disease, depression, type 2 diabetes, high blood pressure, some cancers, osteoporosis, etc. It also enables social inclusion. Active transport is a good lever to reduce the inequalities in health.	Support welcomed. The role that cycling can play in reducing chronic conditions is recognised.	No change.
32	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.7.1 (Page 27)	N/A	People accessing the Park by bicycle will be able to learn from the wildlife and historical aspects around them.	Support welcomed. One of the Eastbourne Park SPD's key principles is to bring about a more comprehensive understanding of natural and historical environments.	No change.
33	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.8.1 (Page 27)	N/A	Eastbourne has National Cycle routes that stop at the edge of the town. The Eastbourne Park plan will encourage more tourists to come into the town.	Support welcomed. The additional cycle routes identified in the Cycling Strategy and illustrated on Figure 7 will enhance linkages between the town and National Cycle Network Route 21 and the Cuckoo Trail.	No change.
48	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.8.1 (KP8) (Page 27)	N/A	Fishing should be added to the water-based recreational activities.	Fishing is not currently excluded and may be an appropriate in certain parts of the Park.	In Key Principle KP8: after "canoeing" add "fishing" (page 27).
9	Places for People (Paul)	Para 3.9.1 (Page 28)	Disagree	PFP fails to understand the relevance, role and potential	The six green corridors identified in Figure 9 provide a broad area	Amend the boundary of Eastbourne Park in

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
	Sharpe)			<p>contribution of the planning permission site to the Horsey Sewer Corridor 'green corridor' on the basis that the site is unlikely to contribute to this green corridor.</p> <p>Fig 9 (and Fig 11) should be amended to remove the planning permission site from the Park, removing also the reference to the Horsey Sewer as it affects the Bedfordwell Road Depot planning permission site.</p>	<p>within which the Council is seeking to deliver enhanced green corridors between important local wildlife habitats. No change is therefore required in relation to this issue.</p>	<p>Figure 2 (and Figures 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 11) to exclude the area of land that was granted planning permission under Ref: EB/2008/0609(FP)</p>
34	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.9.1 (Page 28)	Agree	Green corridors are essential to maintain the natural ambience of the Eastbourne Park.	Support welcomed.	No change.
49	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.9.1 (Page 28)	Agree	The statement is supported. However, should the St Anthony's link road come forward later in the Core Strategy period this development could have a detrimental impact on ecological connectivity within the park.	See response to ID21 (paragraph 2.1.6)	No change.
44	Scarlett McNally	Para 3.9.3 (Pages 28-29)	Disagree	The proposed new road would cut off two of the six proposed	Comment noted.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
	(Bespoke)			green corridors (numbered 5 and 6).		
54	Alex Bateman (Strutt & Parker on behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement)	Para 3.10.1 (page 30)	N/A	<p>KP10 is supported as it acknowledges that Eastbourne Park has an important role to play in renewable energy generation.</p> <p>Paragraph 3.10.2 is the supporting text to Key Principle 10: Renewable Energy. It makes reference to the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009. It concludes that "Eastbourne Park has been assessed as being suitable for solar photo voltaic cells – due to the amount of sunshine hours in Eastbourne and the flat location of the Park". However, this paragraph does not take into consideration a further conclusion of the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009, which states that Eastbourne Park has been assessed as being suitable for wind power generation due to the relatively high recorded wind speeds in the Park.</p>	These issues were discussed at the Examination in Public on the Core Strategy. The Council is currently awaiting the findings of the Inspector's report on the Core Strategy in relation to the acceptability of wind turbines in Eastbourne Park.	Awaiting findings of inspector's report on the Core Strategy.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				Paragraph 3.10.2 should be amended to acknowledge the conclusion of the study in relation to wind power generation within Eastbourne Park.		
Section 4: The Spatial Framework						
10	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Para 4.2.1 (Page 34)	Agree	<p>PFP broadly supports the Concept for the Broadwater sector of Eastbourne Park. The principle of increasing the number of access points from adjacent residential areas (subject to discussions with landowners) is supported.</p> <p>PFP would draw the Council's attention to the safeguarding of pedestrian and cycle access to the Park via the S106 Agreement attached to the planning permission for the redevelopment of the Bedfordwell Road Depot site and adjacent allotment land reference EB/2008/0609(FP).This prospect merits reference in paragraph</p>	Agree. The reference to the potential for pedestrian and cycle access to the Park via the Bedfordwell Road Depot site is acknowledged.	In paragraph 4.2.3 amend the 2 nd sentence to read: "Potential new access points will be explored from Broadwater Roundabout, and from the proposed new residential development sites at Kings Drive as well as the former Bedfordwell Road Depot."

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				4.2.3, or similar.		
40	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 4.2.1 (Page 34)	Disagree	There is concern about the planned road linking Lottbridge Drove and the Langney roundabout. We note also that it is relatively cheap to put in cycle paths, and these should have priority.	Suggestion noted. See response to ID 21 (paragraph 2.1.6).	No change.
35	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 4.3.2 (Page 34)	N/A	The cycle route along the railway line will bring residents from Hampden Park to the town centre and to shops and potential places of work, and to schools. The project overall will also help people of Langney to get into town.	Support welcomed. The Priority 2 route (running immediately east of the railway line), identified in the Cycling Strategy will enhance links between Hampden Park and the Town Centre.	No change.
5	Richard Maile	Figure 12 (Page 39)	N/A	Support for the SPD's overall objectives but there is a need to review the suggestion on page 39 that the site at land north of Hammonds Drive should be used to provide new sports facilities. The site is adjacent to Lottbridge Drove and a large industrial estate is an unsuitable locality for sports facilities. Further more, once the St Anthony's link	The site is identified does not conflict with the comment in page 52 under KP8: Sustainable Tourism, which refers to children's playgrounds being "located close to and with safe access to existing residential areas" to provide children with easy, safe and secure access to such facilities. These criteria not apply to outdoor sports facilities.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				<p>is built it will be necessary to provide an expensive interchange at this point.</p> <p>A more appropriate location for new sports facilities would be on land owned by Eastbourne Borough Council or East Sussex County Council further to the southwest and clearly indicated on fig. 3.</p>		
Section 5: Implementation and Monitoring						
11	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Table 2 (Pages 46-48)	Disagree	<p>There is an inconsistency between 'Key Principle 3: Improved Accessibility' and the 4th heading in Table 2 'Develop New Cycle and Pedestrian Networks Across Eastbourne Park'.</p> <p>The delivery of improved access into the Park must be of 'high priority' as access into the Park must be essential to the delivery of the strategy and objectives identified for the Park itself. Consequently, the final</p>	<p>Agree. The Eastbourne Park SPD seeks to not only enhance access and links within the Park but also to enhance access into the Park from surrounding areas.</p> <p>This point will be made clearly in the final document.</p>	<p>In "Develop New Cycle and Pedestrian Networks Across Eastbourne Park", amend title to read "Develop New Cycle and Pedestrian Networks <u>and Access Into and Across</u> Eastbourne Park".</p> <p>In 3rd infrastructure item, amend text to read "New footpaths, <u>and</u> improved signage <u>and</u></p>

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				'initiative' set out in Table 3 should, in PFP's view, be included within the infrastructure schedule in Table 2 and similarly, KP3 for the Broadwater area in Table 5 should be amended to refer to 'Enhanced access into and through the Park via the provision of a comprehensive network of pedestrian and cycle routes'.		<u>enhanced access into and across Eastbourne Park</u> ".
41	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Table 2 (Pages 46-48)	Disagree	<p>The County Council should reconsider whether its commitment to the new road is still valid in the light of current financial constraints and commitments to sustainable transport.</p> <p>Of all the cycle facilities planned, only the Horsey Sewer route is a "short term" goal. The others are all "medium term goals 2017/18 – 2021-22".</p>	See response to ID17: (Whole Document) and ID 21 (paragraph 2.1.6).	No change.
50	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Table 2: Proposed Infrastructure in Eastbourne	N/A	The essential maintenance requirement is highlighted in the "Infrastructure" table (pages 45/46) under the existing item	Suggestion accepted as per response to representation ID 17. The text will be amended accordingly.	Amend Delivery Mechanism to Community Infrastructure Levy.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
		Park 'Continued Commitment to Flood Storage Area' Key Principle 2 (Pages 46-48)		"Continued Commitment to Flood Storage Area". This should be a high priority for the short term and then monitored and undertaken. "Increasing the capacity of outfalls to the sea" is also incorrectly stated. The Environment Agency has no infrastructure proposals related to the Flood Storage Area and are not responsible for any funding. This should be funded by developer contributions as identified in previous "Flood Storage Options" reports.		
38	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 5.1.6 (Page 48)	N/A	Children's play areas could link from a cycle path allowing children to play and develop an experience of healthy travel.	Comment noted.	No change.
36	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Table 3 (Pages 49-50)	Agree	The proposals to encourage local schools to participate in the environment are supported. Good, safe access to the Eastbourne Park will help with this.	Support welcomed.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
Appendices						
51	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Appendix B2 (Page 55)	N/A	The non-native invasive species Floating Pennywort (<i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i>) moved into the West Langney sector in 2011, along the Langney Sewer. This species should be included in Appendix B2.	Comment noted. The Eastbourne Park SPD will be amended to take account of this accordingly.	In paragraph B2 of Appendix B, delete "five" and replace with "six" add an additional: "Floating Pennywort <i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i> ."